

RESOLUTION NO. 571

by County Administration, Economic Development & Planning and Finance Committees

Seconded by Mrs. Taylor

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AGREEMENT WITH STEPHENS SQUARE REALTY ASSOCIATES FOR BUILDING RENTAL AT 81 STATE STREET FOR SOUTHERN TIER REGIONAL CAREER CENTER (STRCC) FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 1994 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1997.

WHEREAS, Office of Employment and Training requests authorization for an agreement with Stephens Square Realty Associates for building rental at 81 State Street for Southern Tier Regional Career Center (STRCC) for the period of July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1997, at a cost not to exceed \$84,550 annually, and

WHEREAS, said services are necessary to the establishment of the Southern Tier Regional Career Center (STRCC), now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an agreement with Stephens Square Realty Associates, for building rental at 81 State Street for Southern Tier Regional Career Center (STRCC), for the period of July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1997, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the County shall pay the Contractor an amount not to exceed \$84,550 annually for the term of this agreement, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the payments hereinabove authorized shall be made from budget line various.4422.308044 and 308045 (Building and Land Rental), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or his duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Heldover by Mrs. Coffey.

Mr. Pasquale moved, seconded by Mr. Lindsey to adjourn at 5:02 P.M.
Carried.

**BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
SPECIAL SESSION - NOVEMBER 28, 1994**

The Legislature convened at 4:10 p.m. with a call to order by the Chairman, Arthur J. Shafer. The Clerk, Richard R. Blythe, read the fire exit announcement and then called the Attendance roll: Present-18, Absent-1 (Ms. Harris).

The Chairman, Mr. Shafer, led the members of the Legislature in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag, followed by a moment of silent meditation.

The following petitions, communications, notices and reports were presented to the County Legislature:

WRITTEN OR ORAL PRESENTATION OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Letters from the County Executive, Timothy M. Grippen:

1. Objections to the Changes in the 1995 Budget.

COMMUNICATIONS:

1. 1995 Town Budgets:
 - a. Town of Sanford
 - b. Town of Union
 - c. Town of Lisle (corrected page to summary)
 - d. Town of Fenton
 - e. Town of Nanticoke

REPORTS:

1. Monthly Report: Broome Community College (Above Minimum

Hires, October 1994)

Mr. Lindsey moved, seconded by Mr. Whalen to receive and file the above noted report and to publish any pertinent portions thereof in the Journal of Proceedings.

WRITTEN OR ORAL PRESENTATIONS OF THE COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Letters from the Chairman, Arthur J. Shafer:

- 1.Appointing Louis P. Augustini as Acting Chair, for the Regular Session of November 22, 1994.
- 2.Appointing Brian K. Mather as voting representative for David L. Lindsey, Health and Human Services Committee, November 11, 1994.

Mr. Augustini moved, seconded by Mr. Brown that the Legislature take up consideration of the objection of the County Executive at this point in the meeting. (4:15 P.M.) **Carried.**

Legislative Note: The County Executive's "Objections to the Changes in the 1995 Budget" is reproduced in full, followed by the Legislatures actions.

November 21, 1994

Honorable Members of the Broome County Legislature
County Office Building
Binghamton, NY 13902

RE: OBJECTIONS TO THE CHANGES IN THE 1995 BUDGET

Ladies and Gentlemen,

In accordance with Section 607, Paragraph D of the Broome County Charter, I am returning the 1995 budget with my objections and the reasoning behind those objections. I have also enclosed a separate listing of the specific line items affected by my objections.

In offering these objections, I would like to state that I am pleased that we can find so much to agree on. In fact, of more than 7000 individual budget items that both the Executive and the Legislature have to consider, I am submitting today just one dozen objections to the final budget decisions which we worked so long to provide. Although I am disappointed that no progress was made on realizing new revenue streams for the County, I believe that our actions show, once again, that the Legislature and the Executive Offices are able to work together constructively on behalf of the people of Broome County.

The actual financial distinction between the Legislature's final figure and the figure arrived at after calculating the effect of the attached objections is roughly \$36,000 (a figure which does not include just under \$90,000 in savings corrections provided by the Budget Office). Under this proposal, the 1995 County property tax rate will remain below that of the 1990 level. (In 1995, the average County property tax bill will increase about \$36 per year.) Given that, I hope to have your continued consideration and support in addressing the objections described herein.

I should also add that there are specific items that I seriously considered objecting to, but did not. These, in particular, include changes to mandated expense estimates (for example, entitlements -- such as Medicaid and AFDC; mandated assigned counsel costs; the education of handicapped children, and several others).

It is my firm belief that each of these cuts represents an assumption

that the local economy will improve, and I am not sure that there is enough empirical evidence to support that assumption. Nonetheless, I am willing to accept some level of risk -- particularly since we are able to reduce the 1995 tax increase.

Again, on behalf of the people of Broome County, thank you once again for your efforts and dedication. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Timothy M. Grippen
Broome County Executive

I object to the following changes and restore the Executive Assistant for Community Relations in the County Executive's Office.

This cut represents a layoff for a position that is critical to the public operation of the Executive Office as well as all County Departments. The duties of this position include the public distribution and dissemination of all County information -- including emergency warnings, press conferences, new programs and services being offered, etc. This position is also responsible for research, lobbying and public information duties with regard to those topics (state mandates, social services programs, etc.) that affect the ongoing operation of County Government. (For example the person occupying this position in 1994 was active with the New York State Association of Counties, the Governor's Office, the local news media and even the Chairman of the Legislature in coordinating our very aggressive efforts to convince the state to take over the local share of Medicaid payments. Those efforts resulted in a group news conference with seven other County Executives from around the state and subsequent editorial support from the local news media for a state takeover of Medicaid.) As such, this position is vital not only to the County Executive's ongoing

mission, it is a position with responsibilities that positively affect each and every County Department and all of our ongoing efforts.

2.I object to the following changes and restore the Food Services Director to Central Foods.

This position is critical for on-site management of the new Public Safety Building Food Services, and represents an opportunity for the County to save money. Without this position, there is not available staff to cover the 91 hours the services operate each week. As such, the County would in all likelihood be forced to hire an additional corrections officer to cover the Food Services Operations and the inmates assigned to kitchen duty during those times when no Food Services supervisory personnel are assigned. Next year, the salary cost for a Food Services Director is just over \$15,000 per year; an additional corrections officer would cost the County just under \$40,000 per year. (Time and again, we are warned by those who manage our jail facilities that the kitchen area is one of the most vital areas for preventing the passing of contraband and for maintaining a secure facility.) As such, I believe it is in the best interest of the County to re-instate this positions and I urge the Legislature to do so.

3.I object to the following changes and restore the Senior Computer Operator to Computer Services.

The cut represents a layoff in a Computer Services Department that currently operates with the minimal coverage of 1 computer operator for each of the 3 shifts Monday through Friday. These 3 Computer Operators are also required to be on-call on weekends and holidays, and to change their schedules in order to cover illnesses and vacations. Altering the number of Computer Operators would force the immediate elimination of the 3rd shift, move production and back-up schedules onto first and second shift personnel, and utterly defeat the

prime benefit achieved by offering our computer assets around the clock. This would have the result of causing all online systems being forced off-line at noon daily, including: Payroll/Personnel; FAMIS; OfficeVision; Word Processing; Elections, Real Property and the Health Department. As the County moves to consolidate departments and functions, and as all government functions increasingly move "on-line," we should be moving to increase or at least maintain our computer services functions, not to decrease these services. As such, I am asking the Legislature to reconsider this cut.

4.I object to the following changes and restore the Customer Support Representative to Computer Services.

The elimination of the Customer Service Representative will result in a layoff and will immediately cause the elimination or severe impairment of telephone and technical assistance to all County departments, 12 private attorneys' offices, the Computer Aided Dispatch function of Emergency Services, and the 16 town and village real property services which currently rely on this system of support. Again, as the County moves to consolidate departments and functions, and as all government functions increasingly move "on-line," we should be moving to increase or at least maintain our computer services support and training functions. As such, I am asking the Legislature to reconsider this cut.

5.I object to the following changes and restore the Treasury Manager to the Finance Department.

The elimination of the Treasury Manager represents a layoff for a position that is vital to the successful operation of the County's Finance Department. The Treasury Manager reconciles daily cash receipts, oversees the reconciliation of and personally computes asset investment amounts and performs the bid process for generating the

best return on County investments. Additionally, the Treasury Manager manages all bank accounts and oversees all transfers, and is responsible for collecting sales tax monies, and preparing quarterly redistribution of same to local municipalities. Perhaps most important, the Treasury Manager is responsible for assisting with the preparation of tax warrants, with reconciling payment amounts from local governments and schools, and with re-levying those taxes owed the County. Clearly, this position has substantial responsibilities for assuring the ongoing integrity of the County's finances. As such, I urge the Legislature to reconsider this cut.

6.I object to the following changes and restore the Weights and Measures Inspector to Consumer Affairs.

The elimination of the Weights and Measures Inspector represents a layoff for a position that generates far more revenue than is needed to fund the salary. The original and immediate impact of staffing this position in 1991 was an increase in department revenue from \$16,484 in 1990 to \$52,655 in 1991. This pattern of increase has since continued, with revenues established at \$57,025 in 1992, \$61,795 in 1993, and more than \$50,000 as of September, 1994. And these figures do not include participation in the Gas Quality Petroleum Program -- a state initiative which has resulted in substantial reimbursements. This combination of mandated inspections will result in projected revenues in excess of \$80,000 for 1994 alone. These figures, when compared with a salary expense of \$17,800 to the County, clearly point to the value of this position in creating revenues far in excess of required salary expenses.

In objecting to this cut, I will also advise the members of the Legislature that the individual currently occupying this position is also the President of one of our employee unions (CSEA). I advise the Legislature of this only in response to a concern that some members

have expressed to me, to wit: 'This inspector spends all of his time on union duties...'. In fact, the contract between Broome County and CSEA (Article 14) allows the President "...a reasonable amount of leave with pay to handle CSEA business...". It is true that, as the largest employee union in County government, the duties of this position require considerable time and attention. At the same time, we have, in my opinion, saved considerable resources (in the form of saved legal fees, employee absenteeism, etc.) for the County as a whole by having this person on the payroll and able to solve potentially lengthy and costly union problems in an expedient and professional manner. As such, I would urge the Legislature to reconsider this cut.

I object to the following changes and restore the Specification Writer to General Services.

The elimination of this position will result in a layoff and will cause the County's compliance with proper purchasing processes to be seriously undermined. The position permits the County a non-biased opportunity to examine specifications and make adjustments to assure compliance with NYS General Municipal Law 103 and the public finance law. This position has primary duties for insuring that the County is not only provided with the highest quality products and services, it also has responsibilities for identifying fraud, abuse or vendor favoritism from the system. Additionally, in this age of encouraged consolidation, the elimination of this position would have severe consequences on our efforts to offer local towns and villages the opportunity to increase efficiency and enhance savings by purchasing products as a group. Finally, the elimination of this professional position would require the County Law Department to become substantially involved in guaranteeing that County purchases are conducted properly. Obviously, the cost of Attorney time versus that of a full-time Specification Writer would place a much greater salary

burden on the County. As such,
I urge the Legislature to reconsider this cut.

8.I object to the following changes and restore the Telephone Manager to Public Works.

Eliminating this position will not only result in a layoff -- the savings provided by staffing this position outweigh the benefit provided by cutting it by a factor of at least twenty-to-one. In simple dollar terms, revenues of some \$800,000 could be lost from chargebacks generated and monitored from this position -- shifting the entire burden of telephone service to the property tax. In addition to the economic downside, the elimination of this position will leave the County with no in-house expertise needed to evaluate future developments in telecommunications. In fact, in its report on the functions and operation of the County's Public Works Department, the Center for Government Research recommended that telephone management services be expanded, not eliminated.

Over the past ten years, making the correct decisions and purchasing the most efficient systems has saved the County millions of dollars. (For example, in the early 1980s, with no in-house expert, one thousand phones cost \$1.2 million. Today, with a Telephone Manager on hand to recommend and supervise the entire system, 2500 phones with seven times the traffic has a reduced cost of approximately \$800,000 per year. The savings are obvious, as is the continuing and direct financial validation for this position. As such, I am requesting the Legislature to reconsider this cut.

9. I object to the following changes and restore the Veterans'

Outreach Line.

The County's Veteran's Outreach efforts are supported by a dedicated revenue stream that I proposed and the Legislature approved in 1994. Removing this line from the budget now may result in the closing of an office which provides counseling services, job training and referral services and outreach efforts on behalf of veterans and their families. As such, this objection is based on the fact that the Veterans' Outreach Office performs a valuable community service to veterans with no support from the property tax. It is my opinion that it would be a mistake to eliminate a service which is needed, is valuable and requires no County Support. I am requesting the Legislature to reconsider this cut.

10.I object to the following changes and restore the Director of Therapeutic Recreational Services.

In asking the Legislature to reconsider the elimination of this position, I am making a moral appeal based almost wholly on the humane aspect of those services provided by the County. The Director of Therapeutic Recreational Services offers to the handicapped and disabled members of our community an opportunity to partake in all of those 'quality of life' County services -- the parks, the special events, the recreational activities -- that we provide for the able bodied without a moment's hesitation. Time and again -- perhaps more so than any other single function or service in County Government -- my office is made aware through appreciative letters and phone calls of just how important this position and the accompanying services are to those in need. I consider the provision of services such as this the mark of a caring, dedicated and humane government, and I ask you to join me in continuing our proud support of Therapeutic Services by reconsidering the elimination of this position.

11.I object to the increase in funding for support of city, town and village libraries.

In offering the budget this year, I proposed a new series of revenue streams which offered the possibility of little or no property tax increase for 1995; this objection is grounded in the same philosophy that caused me to offer those initial suggestions. Broome County should not continue to financially support what are basically optional local services. In refusing to support an increase in funding for local library systems, we send a clear message to the localities that they must use the considerable financial resources we provide them through the distribution of sales tax dollars for local purposes. The libraries offer a specific benefit to those particular municipalities in which they are located, and the increasing cost of that benefit should be borne by those same municipalities. As such, I am asking the Legislature to reconsider increasing the level of funding for local libraries in 1995.

12a.I object to the delay until 1996 of a capital project for the construction of a main office for the Department of Parks and Recreation at Otsiningo and its removal from the 1995 Capital Budget.

The demand for space within County-owned structure is strong and will continue over the next several years as we begin to address the Office of Court Administration's plan for court spaces. This project provides a low-cost solution that frees approximately 3309 square feet (1/4 of the Crawford Building's fifth floor) for alternative use by mid-year 1996. A delay of one year would make this space unavailable until late in 1997. Further, the larger presence of County personnel near the most heavily used County park would improve its security. Since this project is financed by bonding, there will be no impact on the 1995 property tax levy.

12b.I object to the delay until 1996 of a capital project for the demolition of the former Chenango Bridge Nursing Home until 1996 and its removal from the 1995 Capital Budget.

For the past five years, we have been unable to find a use or to sell the former Chenango Bridge Nursing Home due to environmental concerns. These environmental concerns must be addressed before we can put this asset to alternative use or realize its sale. Delay in the site's remediation increased the County's exposure to liabilities arising from the site's condition while suspending possible gain from either sale of alternative use.

Further, I must point out that because the Capital Program Advisory Committee (which includes three members of the Legislature) did not raise any objections to this project and because we planned on demolition in 1995, we did not provide any funds for the continued operation of the site in the 1995 budget. The Legislature has already substantially reduced contingency, so that the source will be less likely to be available for real contingencies if we use it to fund this site's maintenance and security requirements (approximately \$35,000). While some legislators vaguely suggested that the problem should be addressed by offering an inducement to anyone willing to take the site "as is," there is not provision in the 1995 budget for such an inducement. Since this project is financed by bonding, there will be no impact upon the 1995 property tax levy.

- end of message -

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS WITH REGARDS TO OBJECTIONS TO CHANGES IN THE 1995 BUDGET.

(12 votes in the affirmative are needed to override)

OBJECTION # 1:Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mrs. Wagstaff

to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

- 1."I object to the following changes and restore the Executive Assistant for Community Relations in the County Executive's Office."

Mr. Malley moved, seconded by Mr. Harbachuk to **call the question**. The call of the question **carried**. Ayes-17, Nays-1 (Hudak), Absent-1 (Harris)

THE OBJECTION WAS **SUSTAINED** (and the position remains in the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-11 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather, Schofield, Wagstaff, Wike & Shafer

Nays-7 Coffey, Harbachuk, Kavulich, Malley, Pasquale, Taylor & Whalen

Absent-1 Harris

OBJECTION # 2: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mrs. Wagstaff to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

- 2."I object to the following changes and restore the Food Services Director to Central Foods."

THE OBJECTION WAS **OVERRIDDEN** (and the position is deleted from the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF: Ayes-16, Nays-2 (Coffey & Pasquale), Absent-1 (Harris)

OBJECTION # 3: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Wike to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

3."I object to the following changes and restore the Senior Computer Operator to Computer Services."

Mrs. Hudak moved, seconded by Mr. Pasquale to **call the question**. The call of the question **carried**. Ayes-18, Nays-0, Absent-1 (Harris)

THE OBJECTION WAS **SUSTAINED** (and the position remains in the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-11 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather, Schofield, Wagstaff, Wike & Shafer
Nays-7 Coffey, Harbachuk, Kavulich, Malley, Pasquale, Taylor & Whalen
Absent-1 Harris

OBJECTION # 4:Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Brown to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

4."I object to the following changes and restore the Customer Support Representative to Computer Services."

THE OBJECTION WAS **OVERRIDDEN** (and the position is deleted from the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF: Ayes-16, Nays-2 (Harbachuk & Taylor) Absent-1 (Harris)

OBJECTION # 5:Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Schofield to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

5."I object to the following changes and restore the Treasury Manager to the Finance Department."

THE OBJECTION WAS **SUSTAINED** (and the position remains in the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-11 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather, Schofield, Wagstaff, Wike & Shafer

Nays-7 Coffey, Harbachuk, Kavulich, Malley, Pasquale, Taylor & Whalen

Absent-1 Harris

OBJECTION # 6: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Mather to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

6."I object to the following changes and restore the Weights and Measures Inspector to Consumer Affairs."

Mrs. Wagstaff moved, seconded by Mr. Malley to **call the question.**

The call of the question **carried.**

Ayes-15, Nays-3 (Coffey, Pasquale & Schofield)), Absent-1 (Harris)

THE OBJECTION WAS **SUSTAINED** (and the position remains in the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-11 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather, Schofield, Wagstaff, Wike & Shafer

Nays-7 Coffey, Harbachuk, Kavulich, Malley, Pasquale, Taylor & Whalen

Absent-1 Harris

OBJECTION # 7: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Wike to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

7."I object to the following changes and restore the Specification Writer to General Services."

Mr. Lindsey moved, seconded by Mr. Pasquale to **call the question**. The call of the question **carried**. Ayes-16, Nays-2 (Schofield & Whalen), Absent-1 (Harris)

THE OBJECTION WAS **OVERRIDDEN** (and the position is deleted from the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-12 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather, Schofield, Wagstaff, Whalen, Wike & Shafer
Nays-6 Coffey, Harbachuk, Kavulich, Malley, Pasquale & Taylor
Absent-1 Harris

OBJECTION # 8: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Burger to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

8."I object to the following changes and restore the Telephone Manager to Public Works."

THE OBJECTION WAS **SUSTAINED** (and the position remains in the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-11 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather, Schofield, Wagstaff, Wike & Shafer
Nays-6 Harbachuk, Kavulich, Malley, Pasquale, Taylor & Whalen
Absent-2 Coffey & Harris

OBJECTION # 9: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mrs. Hudak to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

9. "I object to the following changes and restore the Veterans' Outreach Line."

Mr. Lindsey moved, seconded by Mrs. Wagstaff to **call the question**. The call of the question **carried**. Ayes-18, Nays-0, Absent-1 (Harris)

THE OBJECTION WAS **OVERRIDDEN** (and the Budgeted Line is set at \$23,000) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-14 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Coffey, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather, Pasquale, Schofield, Wagstaff, Whalen, Wike & Shafer

Nays-4 Harbachuk, Kavulich, Malley & Taylor

Absent-1 Harris

OBJECTION # 10: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Wike to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

10. "I object to the following changes and restore the Director of Therapeutic Recreational Services."

THE OBJECTION WAS **OVERRIDDEN** (and the position is deleted from the 1995 Budget) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-14 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Coffey, Howard, Hudak, Kavulich, Lindsey, Mather, Schofield, Wagstaff, Whalen, Wike & Shafer

Nays-4 Harbachuk, Malley, Pasquale & Taylor

Absent-1 Harris

OBJECTION # 11: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Schofield to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

11. "I object to the increase in funding for support of city, town and village libraries."

Mrs. Wagstaff moved, seconded by Mr. Pasquale to **call the question**.
The call of the question **carried**.

Ayes-13 Augostini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Lindsey, Mather,
Pasquale, Schofield, Taylor, Wagstaff, Wike & Shafer
Nays-4 Coffey, Harbachuk, Kavulich & Malley
Absent-2 Harris & Whalen

THE OBJECTION WAS **SUSTAINED** (and the Budgeted Line is set at -0-) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-7 Burger, Harbachuk, Howard, Kavulich, Malley, Mather &
Pasquale
Nays-10 Augostini, Brown, Coffey, Hudak, Lindsey, Schofield, Taylor,
Wagstaff, Wike & Shafer
Absent-2 Harris & Whalen

OBJECTION # 12a: Mr. Augostini moved, seconded by Mr. Harbachuk to override the County Executive's Objection which follows:

12a. "I object to the delay until 1996 of a capital project for the construction of a main office for the Department of Parks and Recreation at Otsiningo and its removal from the 1995 Capital Budget."

Mr. Schofield moved, seconded by Mr. Lindsey to **call the question**.

The call of the question **carried**.

Ayes-15, Nays-1 (Taylor), Absent-3 (Harris, Wagstaff & Whalen)

THE OBJECTION WAS **OVERRIDDEN** (and the Project is moved to the 1996 CIP) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-13 Augustini, Brown, Burger, Howard, Hudak, Kavulich,
Lindsey, Malley, Mather, Pasquale, Schofield, Wike & Shafer
Nays-3 Coffey, Harbachuk & Taylor
Absent-3 Harris, Wagstaff & Whalen

OBJECTION # 12b: Mr. Augustini moved, seconded by Mr. Harbachuk to override the County Executive's Objection as follows:

12b. "I object to the delay until 1996 of a capital project for the demolition of the former Chenango Bridge Nursing Home until 1996 and its removal from the 1995 Capital Budget."

THE OBJECTION WAS **OVERRIDDEN** (and the Project is moved to the 1996 CIP) BY A VOTE OF:

Ayes-12 Augustini, Brown, Burger, Harbachuk, Howard, Hudak,
Lindsey, Malley, Mather, Schofield, Wike & Shafer
Nays-4 Coffey, Kavulich, Pasquale & Taylor
Absent-3 Harris, Wagstaff & Whalen

The following resolution that was heldover from the previous session (11/22/1994), was presented for consideration, per a request to the Clerk from 12 Legislators.

RESOLUTION NO. 571 heldover by Mrs. Coffey.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AGREEMENT WITH STEPHENS SQUARE REALTY ASSOCIATES FOR BUILDING RENTAL AT 81 STATE STREET FOR SOUTHERN TIER REGIONAL CAREER CENTER (STRCC) FOR THE PERIOD

OF JULY 30, 1997.

At the request of the Co. Attorney, Mrs. Coffey made, seconded by Mr. Malley, the following amendment: (The first)"FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the Co. shall pay the Contractor an amount not to exceed \$84,550 for rent and 15.7% of any property tax increase occurring subsequent to January 1, 1995 annually for the term of this agreement, and be it."

Mr. Augustini moved, seconded by Mr. Brown that the resolution & amendment be **tabled** to the Dec. 15th. session. **Tabled** by voice vote. (Mrs. Taylor-Nay)

Mr. Pasquale moved, seconded by Mr. Augustini to adjourn at 6:28 P.M. **Carried.**